

AF Embedding of Crossed Products of Certain Graph C^* -Algebras by Quasi-free Actions

XIAOCHUN FANG

Abstract

We introduce the labelling map and the quasi-free action of a locally compact abelian group on a graph C^* -algebra of a row-finite directed graph. Some necessary conditions for embedding the crossed product to an *AF* algebra are discussed, and one sufficient condition is proved that if the row-finite directed graph is constructed by possibly attaching some 1-loops to a row-finite directed graph whose each weak connected component is a rooted (possibly infinite) directed tree, and the labelling map is almost proper, which is proved to be a reasonable generalization of the earlier case, then the crossed product can be embedded to an *AF* algebra.

KEYWORDS: *AF Embedding, Crossed Products, Graph C^* -Algebras*
MSC(2000): 46L05, 19K33

1. Introduction

For more than ten years many important progresses have been made in the classification of amenable C^* -algebras, relative to which much attention are paid to some special C^* -algebra classes, for example *AH* algebras, purely infinite C^* -algebras, crossed products of some C^* -dynamical systems, quasi-diagonal C^* -algebras and so on. It is well known that *AF* embedding implies quasi-diagonality. Since M. Pimsner and D. Voiculescu's *AF* embedding result of irrational rotation C^* -algebras (see [22]), there are much effort to be made to embed the crossed products of some special C^* -algebras to *AF* algebras (for example see [2], [20]–[23]), which sometimes also deduce some *K*-theory information. These special C^* -algebras are generally the finite C^* -algebras, which is clearly a necessary condition if the action group is discrete. Recently T. Katsura in [14] embeds successfully certain crossed products of the Cuntz algebras $\mathcal{O}_n$, which are purely infinite simple C^* -algebras, by quasi-free actions of a locally compact continuous abelian group, where the quasi-free actions on $\mathcal{O}_n$ have been studied for many years specially by A. Kishimoto. Graph C^* -algebras are the generalization of the Cuntz algebras and the Cuntz-Krieger algebras. Many results about their properties, ideal structures and *K*-theories have been gotten for several years recently (for example see [1], [10], [13], [16], [17]).

In this paper, we introduce the quasi-free action of a locally compact abelian group G with the dual Γ on a graph C^* -algebra $C^*(E)$ of a row-finite directed graph E , which is defined by a labelling map ω from E^* to Γ . Keeping the embedding frame in [14], we will prove that the crossed product of $C^*(E)$ by G can be embedded to an *AF* algebra for some special E and ω , which generalizes the main result Theorem 3.8 in [14] from $\mathcal{O}_n$ to a much bigger C^* -algebra class $C^*(E)$, which contain both some simple C^* -algebras and some non-simple C^* -algebras, and also contain both some purely infinite C^* -algebras and some finite C^* -algebras.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we introduce the labelling map $\omega : E^* \rightarrow \Gamma$ and the quasi-free action of G on $C^*(E)$ defined by ω . From the discussion of the necessary conditions for embedding the crossed products of $C^*(E)$ by G to AF algebras, we introduce the concept that ω is almost proper, which is proved to be a reasonable generalization of the corresponding concept in [14]. In section 3, we introduce the row-finite directed graphs E which are constructed by possibly attaching some 1-loops to a row-finite directed graph whose each weak connected component is a rooted (possibly infinite) directed tree. Then it is proved that for these E , the crossed products can be embedded to AF algebras, if the corresponding labelling maps are almost proper.

2. Quasi-free actions and almost proper maps

A directed graph $E = (E^0, E^1, r, s)$ consists of countable (possibly infinite) sets E^0 of vertices, E^1 of edges, and maps $r, s : E^1 \rightarrow E^0$ identifying the range and source of each edge. The graph is called row-finite if each vertex emits at most finitely many edges. We write E^n for the set of paths $\mu = e_1 e_2 \cdots e_n$ with length $|\mu| = n$, which are sequences of edges e_i such that $r(e_i) = s(e_{i+1})$ for $1 \leq i < n$. Then the maps r, s extend naturally to $E^* = \cup_{n \geq 0} E^n$ and s extends naturally to the set of infinite paths $\mu = e_1 e_2 \cdots$. In particular, we have $r(v) = s(v) = v$ for $v \in E^0$. A path μ with $|\mu| \geq 1$ is called a loop if $s(\mu) = r(\mu)$, and a loop μ is called a 1-loop if $|\mu| = 1$. A vertex $v \in E^0$ which emits no edges is called a sink. the relation $\leq_E$ on E^0 is defined by $v \leq_E w$ if and only if there is a path $\mu \in E^*$ with $s(\mu) = w$ and $r(\mu) = v$. A directed graph $E = (E^0, E^1, r, s)$ is called finite if both E^0 and E^1 are finite sets.

For a directed graph $E = (E^0, E^1, r, s)$, the weak connected relation $\sim$ in E^0 is defined as following: for $v, w \in E^0$, $v \sim w$ if and only if $v = w$ or there are $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n$ in E^1 , and $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$ in E^0 such that $v_0 = v$, $v_n = w$, and $\{v_{i-1}, v_i\} = \{r(e_i), s(e_i)\}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$). Clearly $\sim$ is an equivalence relation in E^0 . A directed graph $F = (F^0, F^1, r_F, s_F)$ is called a weak connected component of E if F^0 is an equivalence class of $\sim$ in E^0 , $F^1 = \{e \in E^1 | r(e) \in F^0\} = \{e \in E^1 | s(e) \in F^0\}$, and $r_F = r|_{F^1}$, $s_F = s|_{F^1}$. A directed graph $E = (E^0, E^1, r, s)$ is called a rooted directed tree if there is a $v_0 \in E^0$ with the property that there exists a unique path in E^* from v_0 to every other vertex in E^0 , but no path from v_0 to v_0 .

Let E be a row-finite directed graph, and let A be a C^* -algebra. A Cuntz-Krieger E -family in A consists of a set $\{p_v : v \in E^0\}$ of mutually orthogonal projections in A and a set $\{s_e : e \in E^1\}$ of partial isometries in A satisfying that $s_e^* s_e = p_{r(e)}$ for $e \in E^1$ and $p_v = \sum_{\{e : s(e)=v\}} s_e s_e^*$, whenever v is not a sink. Clearly if each s_e ($e \in E^1$) is not zero, then the product $s_\mu = s_{\mu_1} s_{\mu_2} \cdots s_{\mu_n}$, where $\mu_i \in E^1$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) and $\mu = \mu_1 \mu_2 \cdots \mu_n$, is non-zero precisely when μ is a path in E^* . Since the range projections $s_e s_e^*$ ($e \in E^1$) are mutually orthogonal, we have $s_e^* s_f = 0$ unless $e = f$ for any $e, f \in E^1$. For convenience, since vertices are paths of length 0, we let $s_v = p_v$ for $v \in E^0$.

Let E be a row-finite directed graph, as it is showed in [1], there is a C^* -algebra $C^*(E)$ (called the graph C^* -algebra of E) which is generated by a Cuntz-Krieger E -family $\{s_e, p_v\}$ in $C^*(E)$ of non-zero elements such that, for any Cuntz-Krieger E -family $\{S_e, P_v\}$ in $B(\mathcal{K})$ for some Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}$, there is a representation $\pi = \pi_{S,P}$ of A on $\mathcal{K}$ satisfying that $\pi(s_e) = S_e$, $\pi(p_v) = P_v$, for all $e \in E^1$, $v \in E^0$. With the convenience that $p_v = s_v = s_v s_v^*$ for $v \in E^0$, we have $C^*(E) = \overline{\text{span}}\{s_\mu s_\nu^* : \mu, \nu \in E^* \text{ and } r(\mu) = r(\nu) \in E^0\}$.

Definition 2.1. Let G be a (we always assume second countable) locally compact abelian group with the dual Γ , which is also a locally compact abelian group, a map $\omega : E^* = \cup_{n \geq 0} E^n \rightarrow \Gamma$ is called a labelling map, if $\omega(\mu) = \omega(e_1) + \omega(e_2) + \cdots + \omega(e_n)$ for $\mu = e_1 e_2 \cdots e_n \in E^n$ and $\omega(\mu) = 1_\Gamma$ for $\mu \in E^0$, where 1_Γ is the unit of Γ .

It is clear that the labelling map ω is determined by $\omega|_{E^1}$, which is really just labelling of the edges of the directed graph E by elements of the group Γ . For convenience, we denote $\omega(\mu)$ by ω_μ , and clearly the image $\omega(E^*)$ of ω is a countable set.

For any $t \in G$, let $\tilde{s}_e = (t, \omega_e)s_e$, $\tilde{p}_v = p_v$, it is easy to see that $(\tilde{s}_e, \tilde{p}_v)$ is a Cuntz-Krieger E-family in $C^*(E)$. We have then an endmorphism $\alpha_t^\omega : C^*(E) \rightarrow C^*(E)$ with $\alpha_t^\omega(s_e) = \tilde{s}_e$ and $\alpha_t^\omega(p_v) = \tilde{p}_v$. Since $\alpha_{-t}^\omega = (\alpha_t^\omega)^{-1}$, α_t^ω is an automorphism of $C^*(E)$, and moreover $(C^*(E), G, \alpha^\omega)$ is a C^* -dynamical system. It is easy to see that $\alpha_t^\omega(s_\mu s_\nu^*) = (t, \omega_\mu - \omega_\nu)s_\mu s_\nu^*$ for any $\mu, \nu \in E^*$. We call the action α^ω of G on $C^*(E)$ the quasi-free action. Clearly the gauge action is a special quasi-free action.

Viewing $C^*(E)$ as a C^* -algebra on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, since G is abelian, the regular representation $\tilde{\pi} \times \lambda$ of $C^*(E) \times_{\alpha^\omega} G$ on $L^2(G, \mathcal{H})$ is faithful. Let $u : L^2(G, \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow L^2(\Gamma, \mathcal{H})$ be the Fourier transformation, $(u\xi)(\sigma) = \int_G (t, \sigma)\xi(t)dt$, $\forall \sigma \in \Gamma$, $\xi \in L^2(G, \mathcal{H})$, then for any $\mu \in E^*$, $g \in C_c(G) \subseteq C^*(G)$, $\eta \in L^2(\Gamma, \mathcal{H})$, we have $(u(\tilde{\pi} \times \lambda)(g)u^*\eta)(\sigma) = \hat{g}(\sigma)\eta(\sigma)$, and $(u(\tilde{\pi} \times \lambda)(s_\mu)u^*\eta)(\sigma) = s_\mu(\eta(\sigma - \omega_\mu))$, where $\hat{g}$ is the Fourier transformation of g , $\hat{g}(\sigma) = \int_G (t, \sigma)g(t)dt$. Under the spatial isomorphism defined by u above, $C^*(E) \times_{\alpha^\omega} G$ then can be considered as a C^* -algebra on $L^2(\Gamma, \mathcal{H})$. For the convenience, through the rest of the paper, we will use the short notation s_μ to replace $u(\tilde{\pi} \times \lambda)(s_\mu)u^*$ in case it acts on $L^2(\Gamma, \mathcal{H})$, and then we have that for $\eta \in L^2(\Gamma, \mathcal{H})$,

$$(s_\mu\eta)(\sigma) = s_\mu(\eta(\sigma - \omega_\mu)), \quad \text{and} \quad fs_\mu = s_\mu\sigma_{\omega_\mu}(f) \text{ (on } L^2(\Gamma, \mathcal{H})),$$

where $f \in L^\infty(\Gamma)$, $\sigma_{\gamma_0}(f)(\gamma) = f(\gamma + \gamma_0)$, $\forall \gamma, \gamma_0 \in \Gamma$, and f acts on $L^2(\Gamma, \mathcal{H})$ by the point-wise multiplication. Therefore for $f \in L^\infty(\Gamma)$, f commutes with $s_\mu s_\mu^*$ and p_v for any $\mu \in E^*$ and $v \in E^0$. Moreover since $\widehat{C^*(G)} = C_0(\Gamma)$, we have that

$$C^*(E) \times_{\alpha^\omega} G = \overline{\text{span}}\{s_\mu f s_\nu^* : \mu, \nu \in E^*, r(\mu) = r(\nu) \in E^0, f \in C_0(\Gamma)\} \subseteq \mathbf{B}(L^2(\Gamma, \mathcal{H}))$$

For any subset S of Γ , denote χ_S to be the characteristic function on Γ of S . Let $\{U_i\}_{i \in \mathbf{I}}$ be an open base of Γ such that for any $\gamma \in \omega(E^*) \subseteq \Gamma$, $j \in \mathbf{I}$, $\overline{U_j}$ compact and $U_j - \gamma \in \{U_i : i \in \mathbf{I}\}$, and let Λ be the directed set consisting of all finite and not empty subsets of $\mathbf{I}$ with the inclusion order. Let $D_0(\Gamma)$ be the C^* -subalgebra of $L^\infty(\Gamma)$ which is generated by all the characteristic functions χ_{U_i} ($i \in \mathbf{I}$), and for any $\lambda \in \Lambda$, let $D_\lambda(\Gamma)$ be the C^* -subalgebra of $L^\infty(\Gamma)$ which is generated by all the characteristic functions χ_{U_i} ($i \in \lambda$). Let $\mathcal{F}(E)$ be the C^* -subalgebra of $\mathbf{B}(L^2(\Gamma, \mathcal{H}))$ generated by the set $\{s_\mu f s_\nu^* : \mu, \nu \in E^*, f \in D_0(\Gamma)\}$, and moreover let $\mathcal{F}_\lambda(E)$ be the C^* -subalgebra of $\mathbf{B}(L^2(\Gamma, \mathcal{H}))$ generated by the set $\{s_\mu f s_\nu^* : \mu, \nu \in E^*, f \in D_\lambda(\Gamma)\}$ which is equal to the set $\{s_\mu f s_\nu^* : \mu, \nu \in E^*, r(\mu) = r(\nu) \in E^0, f \in D_\lambda(\Gamma)\}$. Then we have the following relations easily.

Proposition 2.2. With the notations as above, we have:

- (1) $C_0(\Gamma) \subseteq D_0(\Gamma)$ and $C^*(E) \times_{\alpha^\omega} G \subseteq \mathcal{F}(E)$. If moreover Γ is discrete (equivalently G is compact), $\mathbf{I} = \Gamma$, and $U_i = \{i\}$ for any $i \in \mathbf{I} = \Gamma$, then $C^*(E) \times_{\alpha^\omega} G = \mathcal{F}(E)$.
- (2) $D_0(\Gamma)$ is the inductive limit of $D_\lambda(\Gamma)$, and $D_0(\Gamma)$ is invariant under the actions of σ_γ ($\gamma \in \omega(E^*)$).

(3) $\mathcal{F}(E)$ is the inductive limit of $\mathcal{F}_\lambda(E)$ with the coherent family of morphisms $\phi_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2} : A_{\lambda_1} \rightarrow A_{\lambda_2}$ being inclusion map for $\lambda_1 \subseteq \lambda_2$.

Since $D_0(\Gamma)$ is invariant under the actions of σ_γ ($\gamma \in \omega(E^*)$), it is easy to see that

$$\mathcal{F}(E) = \overline{\text{span}}\{s_\mu f s_\nu^* : \mu, \nu \in E^*, r(\mu) = r(\nu) \in E^0, f \in D_0(\Gamma)\} \subseteq \mathbf{B}(L^2(\Gamma, \mathcal{H})).$$

Since generally $C^*(E)$ may not be unital, it should be noted that $C_0(\Gamma)$ is possibly not a subalgebra of $\mathcal{F}(E)$.

From the Proposition 2.2, to embed $C^*(E) \times_{\alpha^\omega} G$ to an AF algebra, it is enough that $\mathcal{F}(E)$ is an AF algebra. So in the rest of this paper, we will mainly discuss $\mathcal{F}_\lambda(E)$ to find when $\mathcal{F}(E)$ is an AF algebra. Therefore, for convenience, we arbitrarily chose a $\lambda \in \Lambda$, and fix this λ through the rest of the paper except Lemma 3.7 and Theorem 3.8.

Since $D_\lambda(\Gamma)$ is of finite dimension and abelian, with the $\lambda \in \Lambda$ fixed and mentioned above, there are mutually orthogonal minimal projections $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_M$ in $D_\lambda(\Gamma)$ such that $D_\lambda(\Gamma)$ consists of all their linear combinations. Let p be the unit of $D_\lambda(\Gamma)$, then p is the characteristic function of $U = \cup_{i \in \lambda} U_i$, and is the sum of all p_i . Then it is easy to see that:

$$\mathcal{F}_\lambda(E) = \overline{\text{alg.} - \text{span}}\{s_\mu p_i s_\nu^* : \mu, \nu \in E^*, r(\mu) = r(\nu) \in E^0, i = 1, 2, \dots, M\} \subseteq \mathbf{B}(L^2(\Gamma, \mathcal{H})),$$

where for a set X in a topological algebra A , $\overline{\text{alg.} - \text{span}}X$ is the closed subalgebra of A generated by X , i.e. the smallest closed subalgebra of A which contains X . For convenience, we let $1 = \chi_\Gamma$, which is the identity operator on $L^2(\Gamma, \mathcal{H})$, and let $p_0 = 1 - p$.

Let $\mathcal{A}_\lambda(E)$ be the (not closed) algebra generated by $\{1\}$, $D_\lambda(\Gamma)$ and $\{s_\mu f s_\mu^* : \mu \in E^*, f \in \{1\} \cup D_\lambda(\Gamma)\}$, then $\mathcal{A}_\lambda(E)$ is a $*$ -subalgebra of $M(\mathcal{F}(E))$. For any $v \in E^0$ and $k \geq 1$, we define a map ρ_{vk} on $\mathcal{A}_\lambda(E)$ by

$$\rho_{vk}(x) = \sum_{s(\mu)=v, |\mu|=k} s_\mu x s_\mu^* \quad (\forall x \in \mathcal{A}_\lambda(E)).$$

We note that if v is a sink, then $\rho_{vk} = 0$. Since E is row-finite, the right hand of the equation above is a finite sum.

Lemma 2.3. (1) ρ_{vk} is a $*$ -endomorphism on $\mathcal{A}_\lambda(E)$, and so $\rho_{vk}(p)$ is a projection.

(2) $\{s_\mu p s_\mu^*\}_{\mu \in E^*}$ are projections which commute with each other, and so the projections $\{\rho_{vk}(p)\}_{v \in E^0, k \geq 1}$ commute with each other too.

(3) $\rho_{vk}(p)p_u = p_u \rho_{vk}(p) = \delta_{u,v} \rho_{vk}(p)$ for any $u, v \in E^0$ and $k \geq 1$. $s_\mu p s_\mu^*$ and $\rho_{vk}(p)$ commute with f for any $v \in E^0$, $k \geq 1$, $\mu \in E^*$ and $f \in D_0(\Gamma)$.

(4) $\rho_{vk}(1 - \rho_{ul}(p)) = p_v$ for any $u, v \in E^0$, $k, l \geq 1$, if there is no path μ in E from vertex v to vertex u with $|\mu| = k$.

(5) Let $v \in E^0$, $k \geq 1$, and Σ be a subset of finite set $\{\mu \in E^* : s(\mu) = v \text{ and } |\mu| = k\}$, then $p_v - \sum_{\mu \in \Sigma} s_\mu p s_\mu^* = \prod_{\mu \in \Sigma} (p_v - s_\mu p s_\mu^*)$, and $1 - \sum_{\mu \in \Sigma} s_\mu p s_\mu^* = \prod_{\mu \in \Sigma} (1 - s_\mu p s_\mu^*)$.

Proof: (1) Let $\mu \in E^*$, $f \in D_\lambda(\Gamma)$, $v \in E^0$, then $(s_\mu f s_\mu^*)p_v = \delta_{s(\mu), v} (s_\mu f s_\mu^*) = p_v (s_\mu f s_\mu^*)$. Therefore for any $v \in E^0$, p_v commutes with $\mathcal{A}_\lambda(E)$.

Let $x, y \in \mathcal{A}_\lambda(E)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_{vk}(x)\rho_{vk}(y) &= \left(\sum_{s(\mu)=v, |\mu|=k} s_\mu x s_\mu^* \right) \left(\sum_{s(\mu)=v, |\mu|=k} s_\mu y s_\mu^* \right) \\
&= \sum_{s(\mu)=v, |\mu|=k} s_\mu x p_{r(\mu)} y s_\mu^* = \sum_{s(\mu)=v, |\mu|=k} s_\mu p_{r(\mu)} x y s_\mu^* \\
&= \sum_{s(\mu)=v, |\mu|=k} s_\mu x y s_\mu^* = \rho_{vk}(xy)
\end{aligned}$$

Clearly $\rho_{vk}(x^*) = \rho_{vk}(x)^*$, i. e. ρ_{vk} is a $*$ -endmorphism on $\mathcal{A}_\lambda(E)$.

(2) Since p commutes with p_v ($\forall v \in E^0$), for any $\mu \in E^*$, $(s_\mu p s_\mu^*)^2 = s_\mu p s_\mu^*$, i.e. $s_\mu p s_\mu^*$ is a projection. Therefore it is enough to prove that $\{s_\mu p s_\mu^*\}_{\mu \in E^*}$ commute with each other. Let $\mu, \nu \in E^*$, without loss of generality, we assume that $|\nu| \geq |\mu| \geq 0$. If $\nu = \mu$, it is clear; If $\nu = \mu\mu_1$ for some $\mu_1 \in E^* \setminus E^0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
(s_\mu p s_\mu^*)(s_\nu p s_\nu^*) &= s_\mu p s_{\mu_1} p s_{\mu\mu_1}^* \\
&= s_{\mu\mu_1} p s_{\mu\mu_1}^* \sigma_{-\omega_\mu}(p) = s_\nu p s_\nu^* s_\mu s_\mu^* \sigma_{-\omega_\mu}(p) \\
&= (s_\nu p s_\nu^*)(s_\mu p s_\mu^*);
\end{aligned}$$

In other case, $(s_\mu p s_\mu^*)(s_\nu p s_\nu^*) = 0 = (s_\nu p s_\nu^*)(s_\mu p s_\mu^*)$. Therefore $\{s_\mu p s_\mu^*\}_{\mu \in E^*}$ commute with each other.

(3) is clear from direct computation.

(4) is from the fact that $s_\mu s_\nu = 0$ for any $\mu, \nu \in E^*$ with $s(\mu) = v$, $|\mu| = k$ and $s(\nu) = u$, since $r(\mu) \neq u$ under the assumption.

(5) is from that $(s_\mu p s_\mu^*)(s_\nu p s_\nu^*) = 0$ for any $\mu, \nu \in \Sigma$ with $\mu \neq \nu$, and $p_v(s_\mu p s_\mu^*) = s_\mu p s_\mu^*$ for any $\mu \in E^*$ with $s(\mu) = v$.

Certainly it is impossible to embed all $C^*(E) \times_{\alpha^\omega} G$ defined above to an AF algebra. For example, if $C^*(E)$ has an infinite projection and G is discrete, $C^*(E) \times_{\alpha^\omega} G$ is not a subalgebra of an AF algebra. The following proposition gives a necessary condition for embedding $C^*(E) \times_{\alpha^\omega} G$ to an AF algebra in another special case.

Proposition 2.4. *Let E be a row-finite directed graph, G be a locally compact abelian group with dual $\hat{G} = \Gamma$, ω be a labelling map from E^* to Γ such that $C^*(E) \times_{\alpha^\omega} G$ has no infinite projection (specially if $C^*(E) \times_{\alpha^\omega} G$ can be embedded to an AF algebra). Then $\omega_\gamma + O \neq O$ for any loop γ in E which has an exit, and any compact open subset O of Γ . In particular if Γ has a compact open subset (specially if G is compact), then $\omega_\gamma \neq 1_\Gamma$ for any loop γ in E which has an exit.*

Proof: Since O be a compact open subset of Γ , then χ_O is a projection in $C_0(\Gamma)$. If there is a loop γ in E with an exit such that $\omega_\gamma + O = O$, let v be a vertex in γ at which there is an exit. Viewing γ as a path with $s(\gamma) = r(\gamma) = v$, we have $p_v \chi_O, s_\gamma \chi_O s_\gamma^* \in C^*(E) \times_{\alpha^\omega} G$. Moreover $s_\gamma \chi_O s_\gamma^* = \sigma_{-\omega_\gamma}(\chi_O) s_\gamma s_\gamma^* = \chi_{(\omega_\gamma + O)} s_\gamma s_\gamma^* = \chi_O s_\gamma s_\gamma^*$, and $(\chi_O s_\gamma^*)(s_\gamma \chi_O) = \chi_O p_v$, i.e. $\chi_O s_\gamma s_\gamma^* \sim \chi_O p_v$. Since clearly $\chi_O s_\gamma s_\gamma^* < \chi_O p_v$ for there is an exit of γ at v , i.e. $\chi_O p_v$ is a infinite projection in $C^*(E) \times_{\alpha^\omega} G$, which contradicts that $C^*(E) \times_{\alpha^\omega} G$ has no infinite projection.

In our AF embedding frame, we will in fact embed the bigger C^* -algebra $\mathcal{F}(E)$, which contains $C^*(E) \times_{\alpha^\omega} G$ and $\mathcal{F}_\lambda(E)$ by Proposition 2.2(1) and definitions, into an AF algebra.

By the similar proof as that of Proposition 2.4, without the assumption that Γ has a compact open subset, we still have $\omega_\gamma \neq 1_\Gamma$ for any loop γ in E which has an exit, if $\mathcal{F}_\lambda(E)$ can be embedded into an AF algebra (Since then there is an infinite projection χ_{Op_v} in $\mathcal{F}_\lambda(E)$ for $v \in \gamma$ and $O = U_i$ with $i \in \lambda$, if $\omega_\gamma = 1_\Gamma$ for some loop γ in E).

Now to embed $C^*(E) \times_{\alpha^\omega} G$ to an AF algebra, first we want to define the labelling map, which defines the action, to be almost proper.

Definition 2.5. Let ω be a labelling map from E^* to Γ . With the discrete topology, E^* is a topological space. We call ω to be almost proper if $\omega|_{E^* \setminus E^0}$ is proper, i.e. for any compact subset A of Γ , $\omega^{-1}(A) \setminus E^0$ is a finite set.

It is clear that if $E^* \setminus E^0$ is an infinite set and ω is almost proper, then Γ is not a compact set, which is equivalent to that G is not discrete; and if E has a loop γ and ω is almost proper, then $\omega_\gamma \neq 1_\Gamma$, for otherwise $\omega^{-1}(\{1_\Gamma\}) \setminus E^0$ is an infinite set. The following proposition says that this definition can be viewed as a reasonable generalization of the corresponding definition given in [14].

Proposition 2.6. Let $E = (E^0, E^1, r, s)$ be a finite directed graph such that there is a $v \in E^0$ with $r(e) = s(e) = v$ for any $e \in E^1$, ω be a labelling map from E^* to Γ . Then $-\omega_e \notin \overline{\omega(E^*)}$ if and only if ω is almost proper.

Proof: If $-\omega_e \notin \overline{\omega(E^*)}$ for each $e \in E^1$, and ω is not almost proper, then there is a compact subset A of Γ such that $\omega^{-1}(A) \setminus E^0$ is not finite. Since E^1 is finite and A is compact, it is easy to choose a sequence $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_i, \dots$ in $\omega^{-1}(A) \setminus E^0$ such that ω_{μ_i} is convergent in Γ and $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} |\mu_i| = +\infty$. Moreover by the finiteness of E^1 again, there are $f \in E^1$ and a subsequence $\{\mu_{k_i}\}$ of $\{\mu_i\}$ such that $\{l_i(e)\}_{i \geq 1}$ is increasing for any $e \in E^1$, and $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} l_i(f) = +\infty$, where $l_i(e)$ is the appearing times of e in $\{\mu_{k_i}\}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume $\{l_i(f)\}_{i \geq 1}$ is monotonous strictly, and then $-\omega_f = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (\omega_{\mu_{k_{i+1}}} - \omega_{\mu_{k_i}} - \omega_f) \in \overline{\omega(E^*)}$, which is a contradiction.

For the converse, if ω is almost proper, and $-\omega_f \in \overline{\omega(E^*)}$ for some $f \in E^1$, then $-\omega_f = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \omega_{\mu_i}$ for some $\{\mu_i\} \subseteq E^*$. Since $r(e) = s(e) = v$ for any $e \in E^1$, let nf be the path with edge f repeating n times, then $-\omega_{nf} = n(-\omega_f) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} n\omega_{\mu_i} = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \omega_{n\mu_i} \in \overline{\omega(E^*)}$. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be the neighborhood base of 1_Γ in Γ , and let Ω be the directed set $\{t = (n, O) : n \in \mathbf{N}, O \in \mathcal{V}\}$ with the order: $t_1 = (n_1, O_1) \leq t_2 = (n_2, O_2)$ iff $n_1 \leq n_2$ and $O_2 \subseteq O_1$. For any $t = (n, O)$, since $-\omega_{nf} \in \overline{\omega(E^*)}$, we may choose a $\mu \in E^*$ such that $\omega_{nf} + \omega_\mu \in O$. Let $\mu_t = (nf)\mu \in E^*$, then $|\mu_t| \geq n$ and $\omega_{\mu_t} \in O$, i.e. $\lim_t |\mu_t| = +\infty$ and $\lim_t \omega_{\mu_t} = 1_\Gamma$. This contradicts that ω is almost proper.

Example 2.7. (1) If E is a finite directed graph without loop (specially if E is a finite rooted directed tree), then E^* is finite, and so any labelling map is almost proper.

(2) Let $G = \Gamma = \mathbf{R}$, $E = (E^0, E^1, r, s)$ be a directed graph with $E^1 = \{e_1, e_2, \dots\}$, $\omega : E^* \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a labelling map with $\omega_{e_i} \omega_{e_j} > 0$ ($\forall i, j$). If E^1 is finite or $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \omega_{e_n} = \infty$, then ω is almost proper.

Lemma 2.8. Let p be the unit of $D_\lambda(\Gamma)$, ω be a labelling map from E^* to Γ . If ω is almost proper, then there is a $N \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$, and $F = \{\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_N\} \subseteq E^* \setminus E^0$ such that $ps_\mu p = 0$ for any $\mu \in E^* \setminus (E^0 \cup F)$.

Proof: Let $F = \omega^{-1}(\overline{U - U}) \setminus E^0$ with $U = \cup_{i \in \lambda} U_i$, since $\overline{U - U}$ is compact, and ω is almost proper, F is finite. There are $N \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_N \in E^* \setminus E^0$ such that $F = \{\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_N\}$. For any $\mu \in E^*$, if $ps_\mu p \neq 0$, then $0 \neq s_\mu^* ps_\mu p = \sigma_{\omega_\mu}(p) ps_\mu^* s_\mu = \sigma_{\omega_\mu}(p) p p_{r(\mu)}$, and so there is a $x \in U$ such that $x + \omega_\mu \in U$. Therefore $\omega_\mu \in U - U \subseteq \overline{U - U}$, i.e. $\mu \in F \cup E^0$, and this completes the proof.

3. AF -embedding

Let $T = (T^0, T^1, r, s)$ be a row-finite directed graph whose each weak connected component is a rooted (possibly infinite) directed tree, and let $E = (E^0, E^1, r, s)$ be the row-finite directed graph constructed from T by attaching n_v ($0 \leq n_v < +\infty$) 1-loops to each vertex v in T . It is clear that the directed graph with one vertex and n 1-loops, whose C^* -algebra is the Cuntz algebra, is a special one of these E . In this section we always assume E to be of this form and the labelling map $\omega : E^* \rightarrow \Gamma$ to be almost proper.

From [1] or [13], it is easy to see that the C^* -algebras $C^*(E)$ of the graphs under consideration can be simple or non-simple, and also can be purely infinite or finite (see some simple examples below). Moreover among them there are some interesting C^* -algebras. The reason only to consider this class of graphs here is that the pathes in a general graph is too complex to handle in our embedding construction.

Example 3.1. Let $T = (T^0, T^1, r, s)$ be a (possibly infinite) rooted directed tree with $|T^0| = |T^1| + 1$, where $T^0 = \{v_i : 1 \leq i < |T^0| + 1\}$ and $T^1 = \{e_i : 1 \leq i < |T^1| + 1\}$ are two countable (possibly infinite) sets with $s(e_i) = v_i$, $r(e_i) = v_{i+1}$ for all $1 \leq i < |T^1| + 1$. Let $t = |T^1|$.

- (1) If $1 \leq t \leq +\infty$, $E=T$, then $C^*(E)$ is a simple C^* -algebra with each p_{v_n} ($n \geq 1$) finite;
- (2) If $t < +\infty$, E is constructed from T by attaching finite and more than one 1-loops to v_{t+1} , then $C^*(E)$ is a purely infinite simple C^* -algebra;
- (3) Let $\{l_i\}_{i \in \mathbf{N}}$ be a strictly increasing positive integer sequence with $\lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} l_i = +\infty$. If $t = +\infty$ and E is constructed from T by attaching finite 1-loops to each v_{l_i} ($i \geq 1$), then $C^*(E)$ is a purely infinite non-simple C^* -algebra;
- (4) If $1 \leq t \leq +\infty$, E is constructed from T by attaching finite 1-loops to some v_n ($1 \leq n < t$), then $C^*(E)$ is a non-simple C^* -algebra with each p_{v_i} ($i \leq n$) infinite and each p_{v_j} ($j > n$) finite.

For any $v \in E^0$, $k \geq 1$, $0 \leq l \leq k$, let

$$E_v^{kl} = \{\mu = e_1 e_2 \cdots e_k \in E^k : s(\mu) = v \text{ and } e_1, e_2, \dots, e_l \text{ are 1-loops, but } e_{l+1} \text{ is not a 1-loop}\},$$

$$\rho_{vk}^l(x) = \sum_{\mu \in E_v^{kl}} s_\mu x s_\mu^* \quad (\forall x \in \mathcal{A}_\lambda(E)).$$

By the similar proof as that of Lemma 2.3(1), ρ_{vk}^l is still a homomorphism. Let $E_v^k = \{\mu \in E^k : s(\mu) = v\}$, then $E_v^k = \cup_{l=0}^k E_v^{kl}$, and therefore $\rho_{vk} = \sum_{l=0}^k \rho_{vk}^l$.

Let $F = \{\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_N\}$ be defined in Lemma 2.8, and let $W = \{s(\mu_1), s(\mu_2), \dots, s(\mu_N)\}$, then $(W, \leq_T)$ is a partially ordered set. Let V be the subset of W consisting of all the max-

imal elements in $(W, \leq_T)$, and let

$$m = \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |\mu_i| + \max\{|\mu| : \mu \text{ is a path in } T \text{ from a vertex in } V \text{ to a vertex in } W\}.$$

For $v \in V$, $j \geq 0$, let $V(v, j)$ be all the vertices to which there is a (unique) path μ in T from v with $|\mu| = j$, and let

$$E_F^* = \bigcup_{v \in V} \bigcup_{j=0}^{m-1} \bigcup_{u \in V(v, j)} \{\mu : s(\mu) = u, 1 \leq |\mu| \leq m - j\}.$$

We note that if $F = \emptyset$, then $E_F^* = \emptyset$. It is clear that for any $\mu_i \in F$, there are $v \in V$ and $j \in \mathbf{N}$ with $0 \leq j \leq m - \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |\mu_i|$ such that $s(\mu_i) \in V(v, j)$, and so $\mu_i \in E_F^*$. Since each weak connected component of T is a rooted directed tree, for any $u, v \in V$ with $u \neq v$, there is no path in E from one vertex in $V(u, i)$ to another vertex in $V(v, j)$ for any $i, j \geq 0$, and also there is no path in E from one vertex in $V(v, k)$ to another vertex in $V(v, l)$ for any $k > l$. Now we let

$$q = \prod_{\mu \in E_F^*} (1 - s_\mu p s_\mu^*) p,$$

where p is the unit of $D_\lambda(\Gamma)$ for the given $\lambda \in \Lambda$ as mentioned in part 2. We note that if $F = \emptyset$, then $q = p$. By Lemma 2.3 (2) (3), the definition above is well-defined and $q \leq p$. By Lemma 2.3 (5), $1 - \rho_{vk}(p) = \prod_{s(\mu)=v, |\mu|=k} (1 - s_\mu p s_\mu^*)$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} q &= \prod_{v \in V} \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \prod_{u \in V(v, j)} \prod_{k=1}^{m-j} (1 - \rho_{uk}(p)) p \\ &= \prod_{v \in V} \left(\prod_{k=1}^m (1 - \rho_{vk}(p)) \prod_{u \in V(v, 1)} \prod_{k=1}^{m-1} (1 - \rho_{uk}(p)) \cdots \prod_{u \in V(v, m-1)} (1 - \rho_{u1}(p)) \right) p, \end{aligned}$$

which is a projection in $\mathcal{A}_\lambda(E) \subseteq M(\mathcal{F}(E)) \subseteq \mathbf{B}(L^2(\Gamma, \mathcal{H}))$ by Lemma 2.3 (1).

Lemma 3.2. *With the notations as above, we have:*

- (1) q and $\rho_{uk}(q)$ commute with f and p_v for any $u, v \in E^0$, $k \geq 1$ and $f \in D_0(\Gamma)$.
- (2) For any $\mu, \nu \in E^*$, $(s_\mu q s_\mu^*)(s_\nu q s_\nu^*) = \delta_{\mu, \nu} s_\mu q s_\mu^*$. If moreover $\mu \notin E^0$, $q s_\mu q = 0$.

Proof: (1) is clear from Lemma 2.3 and direct computation.

(2) is also clear if $\mu, \nu \in E^0$. Since $(s_\mu q s_\mu^*)^2 = s_\mu q s_\mu^*$ by (1), it is enough to prove that for any $\mu \in E^* \setminus E^0$, $q s_\mu q = 0$, which is equivalent to $q s_\mu q s_\mu^* q = 0$. If $\mu \notin F$, by Lemma 2.8, $q s_\mu q s_\mu^* q = q(p s_\mu p) q s_\mu^* q = 0$. If $\mu \in F$, by the discussion above $\mu \in E_F^*$. Therefore $0 \leq q s_\mu q s_\mu^* q \leq q(s_\mu p s_\mu^*) q = 0$, i.e. $q s_\mu q s_\mu^* q = 0$.

Lemma 3.3. *With the notations as above, let $v \in E^0$, then there is a finite subset $E^*(v)$ of E^* such that*

$$p_v p = \sum_{\mu \in E^*(v)} s_\mu q s_\mu^* p.$$

Moreover if $v \notin \bigcup_{v \in V, 0 \leq j \leq m-1} V(v, j)$, $E^*(v) = \{v\}$; and if $v \in \bigcup_{v \in V, 0 \leq j \leq m-1} V(v, j)$, $E^*(v)$ is a subset of finite set $E_F^* \cup \left(\bigcup_{v \in V, 0 \leq j \leq m-1} V(v, j) \right)$.

Proof: By definition, $q = \prod_{v \in V} \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \prod_{u \in V(v,j)} \prod_{k=1}^{m-j} (1 - \rho_{uk}(p))p$.

Then if $v \notin \bigcup_{v \in V, 0 \leq j \leq m-1} V(v, j)$, it is clear from Lemma 2.3 (3) that $p_v q p_v p = p_v p$.
If now $v \in V$, by Lemma 2.3 (3),

$$p_v q p_v p = p_v \prod_{k=1}^m (1 - \rho_{vk}(p)) p_v p = \prod_{k=1}^m (p_v - \rho_{vk}(p)) p. \quad (1)$$

Let $1 \leq k \leq m$, since there is no path from v to any vertex in $V(w, j)$ for any $0 \leq j \leq m-1$ and $w \in V$ with $w \neq v$, by Lemma 2.3 (4),

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{vk}(q)p &= \rho_{vk} \left(\prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \prod_{u \in V(v,j)} \prod_{s=1}^{m-j} (1 - \rho_{us}(p)) p \right) p \\ &= \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \prod_{u \in V(v,j)} \prod_{s=1}^{m-j} (p_v - \rho_{vk}(\rho_{us}(p))) \rho_{vk}(p) p \quad (\text{for } \rho_{vk} \text{ is a homomorphism}) \\ &= \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \prod_{s=1}^{m-j} \prod_{u \in V(v,j)} (p_v - \rho_{vk}(\rho_{us}(p))) \rho_{vk}(p) p \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mu \notin F(\subseteq E_F^*)$ for any $\mu \in E^*$ with $s(\mu) \in V(v, j)$ ($\forall v \in V, 0 \leq j \leq m-1$) and $|\mu| > m-j$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{vk}(q)p &= \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \prod_{s=1}^m \prod_{u \in V(v,j)} (p_v - \rho_{vk}(\rho_{us}(p))) \rho_{vk}(p) p \\ &\quad (\text{since } \rho_{vk}(\rho_{us}(p))p = 0 \text{ for } s > m-j \text{ and } u \in V(v, j) \text{ by Lemma 2.8}) \\ &= \prod_{s=1}^m \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \prod_{u \in V(v,j)} (p_v - \rho_{vk}(\rho_{us}(p))) \rho_{vk}(p) p \\ &= \prod_{s=1}^{m-k} \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \prod_{u \in V(v,j)} (p_v - \rho_{vk}(\rho_{us}(p))) \rho_{vk}(p) p \\ &\quad (\text{since } \rho_{vk}(\rho_{us}(p))p = 0 \text{ for } s > m-k \text{ and } u \in V(v, j) \text{ by Lemma 2.8}) \\ &= \prod_{s=1}^{m-k} \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \prod_{u \in V(v,j)} (p_v - \sum_{l=0}^k \rho_{vk}^l(\rho_{us}(p))) \rho_{vk}(p) p \\ &= \prod_{s=1}^{m-k} (p_v - \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \sum_{u \in V(v,j)} \sum_{l=0}^k \rho_{vk}^l(\rho_{us}(p))) \rho_{vk}(p) p \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.3 (5)}) \\ &= \prod_{s=1}^{m-k} (p_v - \sum_{l=0}^k \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \sum_{u \in V(v,j)} \rho_{vk}^l(\rho_{us}(p))) \rho_{vk}(p) p. \end{aligned}$$

For $1 \leq s \leq m-k$, since

$$\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \sum_{u \in V(v,j)} \rho_{vk}^k(\rho_{us}(p)) = \rho_{vk}^k(\rho_{vs}(p)) = \sum_{l=k}^{k+s} \rho_{v(k+s)}^l(p),$$

and for $0 \leq l \leq k-1$,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \sum_{u \in V(v,j)} \rho_{vk}^l(\rho_{us}(p)) = \rho_{v(k+s)}^l(p),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{vk}(q)p &= \prod_{s=1}^{m-k} (p_v - \sum_{l=0}^{k+s} \rho_{v(k+s)}^l(p)) \rho_{vk}(p)p \\ &= \prod_{s=1}^{m-k} (p_v - \rho_{v(k+s)}(p)) \rho_{vk}(p)p. \end{aligned}$$

And so

$$\rho_{vk}(q)p = \prod_{s=k+1}^m (p_v - \rho_{vs}(p)) \rho_{vk}(p)p. \quad (2)$$

Therefore $p_v p = p_v q p + \sum_{k=1}^m \rho_{vk}(q)p$ by (1), (2) and direct computation.

If $v \in V(u, i)$ for some $u \in V$, $1 \leq i \leq m-1$, the discussion is similar only by replacing m with $m-i$ and replacing $V(v, j)$ ($0 \leq j \leq m-1$) with $\widetilde{V(v, j)}$ ($0 \leq j \leq m-i-1$), where $\widetilde{V(v, j)}$ consists of all vertices to which there is a unique path μ in T from v with $|\mu| = j$.

Let $p_0 = 1 - p$, and let $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_M$ be the mutually orthogonal minimal projections in D_λ with $\sum_{i=1}^M p_i = 1$, which are defined in part 2. We arbitrarily chose a vertex $\tilde{v} \in E^0$, and let $\widetilde{E_F^*} = E_F^* \cup \{\tilde{v}\}$. Let $\mathbf{J}$ be the finite set of all maps from $\widetilde{E_F^*}$ to the set $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, M\}$, and $\mathbf{K}_1 = \{(v, \tau) : v \in E^0, \tau \in \mathbf{J}\}$. For $\forall (v, \tau) \in \mathbf{K}_1$, Let

$$q_{(v, \tau)} = q \prod_{\mu \in \widetilde{E_F^*}} \sigma_{w_\mu}(p_{\tau(\mu)}) p_v \in \mathbf{B}(L^2(\Gamma, \mathcal{H})).$$

Clearly $q_{(v, \tau)}$ is a projection with $q_{(v, \tau)} \leq q \leq p$. Let $\mathbf{K} = \{(v, \tau) \in \mathbf{K}_1 : q_{(v, \tau)} \neq 0\}$, which is clearly a countable (possibly infinite) set.

Lemma 3.4. (1) $\{q_{(v, \tau)}\}_{(v, \tau) \in \mathbf{K}}$ are mutually orthogonal projections in $\mathcal{F}(E)$.

(2) For any fixed $v \in E^0$, $p_v q = \sum_{\tau \in \mathbf{J}} q_{(v, \tau)} = \sum_{\tau: (v, \tau) \in \mathbf{K}} q_{(v, \tau)}$

Proof: (1) It is clear that $\{q_{(v, \tau)}\}_{(v, \tau) \in \mathbf{K}}$ are mutually orthogonal. Since $D_0(\Gamma)$ is invariant under the action of σ_γ ($\gamma \in \omega(E^*)$), $\prod_{\mu \in \widetilde{E_F^*}} \sigma_{w_\mu}(p_{\tau(\mu)}) p_v p \in \mathcal{F}(E)$. Therefore

$$q_{(v, \tau)} = q \prod_{\mu \in \widetilde{E_F^*}} \sigma_{w_\mu}(p_{\tau(\mu)}) p_v p \in \mathcal{F}(E) \text{ for } q \in \mathcal{A}_\lambda(E) \subseteq M(\mathcal{F}(E)).$$

(2)

$$\begin{aligned} p_v q &= p_v q \prod_{\mu \in \widetilde{E_F^*}} \sigma_{w_\mu}(p_0 + p_1 + \dots + p_M) \\ &= p_v q \sum_{\tau \in \mathbf{J}} \prod_{\mu \in \widetilde{E_F^*}} \sigma_{w_\mu}(p_{\tau(\mu)}) = \sum_{\tau \in \mathbf{J}} q_{(v, \tau)} \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.5. The C^* -algebra $\mathcal{F}_\lambda$ generated by $\{s_\mu q_{(v, \tau)} s_\nu^*\}_{\mu, \nu \in E^*, (v, \tau) \in \mathbf{K}}$ is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{(v, \tau) \in \mathbf{K}} \mathcal{K}_{(v, \tau)}$, and hence is an AF subalgebra of $\mathcal{F}(E)$, where $\mathcal{K}_{(v, \tau)}$ is a compact operator

algebra over a finite dimensional or a separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space.

Proof: First since $q_{(v,\tau)} \in \mathcal{F}(E)$, $s_\mu q_{(v,\tau)} s_\nu^* = (s_\mu p) q_{(v,\tau)} (s_\nu p)^* \in \mathcal{F}(E)$. Let $(v_1, \tau_1), (v_2, \tau_2) \in \mathbf{K}$, by Lemma 3.2, for any $\mu_1, \mu_2, \nu_1, \nu_2 \in E^*$,

$$\begin{aligned} (s_{\mu_1} q_{(v_1, \tau_1)} s_{\nu_1}^*) (s_{\mu_2} q_{(v_2, \tau_2)} s_{\nu_2}^*) &= s_{\mu_1} q_{(v_1, \tau_1)} p_{v_1} (q s_{\nu_1}^* s_{\mu_2} q) q_{(v_2, \tau_2)} s_{\nu_2}^* \\ &= \delta_{\nu_1, \mu_2} \delta_{v_1, r(\nu_1)} \delta_{(v_1, \tau_1), (v_2, \tau_2)} s_{\mu_1} q_{(v_1, \tau_1)} s_{\nu_2}^*. \end{aligned}$$

Let $(v, \tau) \in \mathbf{K}$. If $r(\mu)$ or $r(\nu)$ is not v , then $s_\mu q_{(v, \tau)} s_\nu^* = 0$. Let $E^{*,v} = \{\mu \in E^* : r(\mu) = v\}$, by the computation above, $\{s_\mu q_{(v, \tau)} s_\nu^*\}_{\mu, \nu \in E^{*,v}}$ is a matrix unit, and generates the same C^* -algebra, notated by $\mathcal{F}_{(v, \tau)}$, as $\{s_\mu q_{(v, \tau)} s_\nu^*\}_{\mu, \nu \in E^*}$. Moreover $\mathcal{F}_{(v, \tau)}$ is isomorphic to a compact operator algebra $\mathcal{K}_{(v, \tau)}$ over a finite dimensional or a separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space. This completes the proof.

Lemma 3.6. *The C^* -algebra $\mathcal{F}_\lambda(E)$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{F}_\lambda$.*

Proof: Since $\mathcal{F}_\lambda(E) = \overline{\text{alg.} - \text{span}}\{s_\mu p_i s_\nu^* : \mu, \nu \in E^*, r(\mu) = r(\nu) \in E^0, i = 1, 2, \dots, M\}$, it is enough to prove for each $1 \leq i \leq M$, $\mu, \nu \in E^*$ with $r(\mu) = r(\nu)$, $s_\mu p_i s_\nu^* \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda$. For any $v \in E^0$, $1 \leq i \leq M$,

$$\begin{aligned} p_v p_i &= p_v p p_i = \sum_{\gamma \in E^*(v)} s_\gamma q s_\gamma^* p_i \quad (\text{by Lemma 3.3}) \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in E^*(v)} s_\gamma (p_{r(\gamma)} q) s_\gamma^* p_i \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in E^*(v)} s_\gamma \left(\sum_{\tau \in \mathbf{J}} q_{(r(\gamma), \tau)} \right) s_\gamma^* p_i \quad (\text{by Lemma 3.4 (2)}) \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in E^*(v)} \sum_{\tau \in \mathbf{J}} s_\gamma q_{(r(\gamma), \tau)} \sigma_{\omega_\gamma}(p_i) s_\gamma^* \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in E^*(v)} \sum_{\tau \in \mathbf{J}} s_\gamma q \prod_{\mu \in E_F^*} \sigma_{w_\mu}(p_{\tau(\mu)}) \sigma_{\omega_\gamma}(p_i) p_{r(\gamma)} s_\gamma^* \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in E^*(v) \cap E_F^*} \sum_{\tau \in \mathbf{J}: \tau(\gamma)=i} s_\gamma q_{(r(\gamma), \tau)} s_\gamma^* + \sum_{\gamma \in E^*(v) \setminus E_F^*} \sum_{\tau \in \mathbf{J}: \tau(\tilde{v})=i} s_\gamma q_{(r(\gamma), \tau)} s_\gamma^* \\ &\quad (\text{since } E^*(v) \setminus E_F^* \subseteq E^0, \text{ and } \omega_v = 1_\Gamma \text{ for any } v \in E^0). \end{aligned}$$

Let $r(\mu) = r(\nu) = v$, then

$$\begin{aligned} s_\mu p_i s_\nu^* &= s_\mu p_v p_i s_\nu^* \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in E^*(v) \cap E_F^*} \sum_{\tau \in \mathbf{J}: \tau(\gamma)=i} s_{\mu\gamma} q_{(r(\gamma), \tau)} s_{\nu\gamma}^* + \sum_{\gamma \in E^*(v) \setminus E_F^*} \sum_{\tau \in \mathbf{J}: \tau(\tilde{v})=i} s_{\mu\gamma} q_{(r(\gamma), \tau)} s_{\nu\gamma}^* \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

Lemma 3.7. *Let Ω be a directed set, $\{A_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Omega}$ be C^* -algebras, and the separable C^* -algebra $A = \varinjlim (A_\lambda, \phi_{\lambda\mu})$ be the inductive limits with the coherent family of morphisms $\phi_{\lambda\mu} : A_\lambda \rightarrow A_\mu (\lambda < \mu)$ being the inclusion maps. If each A_λ is contained in an AF subalgebra of A , then A is an AF algebra.*

Proof: This is a direct consequence of the local characterization of AF algebra (for example see [6] Theorem III.3.4). We note that A_λ can be viewed as a subalgebra of A for $\phi_{\lambda\mu}$ are the inclusion maps.

Theorem 3.8. *Let T be a row-finite directed graph whose each weak connected component is a rooted (possibly infinite) directed tree, E be a row-finite directed graph constructed by attaching n_v ($0 \leq n_v < +\infty$) 1-loops to each vertex v in T , G be a locally compact abelian group with the dual Γ , $\omega : E^* \rightarrow \Gamma$ be an almost proper labelling map, $(C^*(E), G, \alpha^\omega)$ be the C^* -dynamical system with α^ω being the quasi-free action defined by ω . Then the crossed product $C^*(E) \times_{\alpha^\omega} G$ can be embedded into an AF algebra. If moreover G is compact, then the crossed product $C^*(E) \times_{\alpha^\omega} G$ itself is an AF algebra.*

Proof: In Lemma 3.7, by Proposition 2.2 (3), take $\Omega = \Lambda$, $A_\lambda = \mathcal{F}_\lambda(E)$, $A = \mathcal{F}(E)$. By Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 3.6, $\mathcal{F}_\lambda(E)$ is contained in the AF subalgebra $\mathcal{F}_\lambda$ of $A = \mathcal{F}(E)$. Therefore $\mathcal{F}(E)$ is an AF algebra. If moreover G is compact, and so Γ is discrete, we let $\mathbf{I} = \Gamma$, and $U_i = \{i\}$ for any $i \in \mathbf{I} = \Gamma$, then $C^*(E) \times_{\alpha^\omega} G = \mathcal{F}(E)$ as in Proposition 2.2. This completes the proof.

From the following theorem one can see how much difference between the AF -embedding sufficient condition in Theorem 3.8 and the AF -embedding necessary condition in Proposition 2.4 in our special case.

Theorem 3.9. *Let T be a finite directed graph whose each weak connected component is a rooted directed tree, E be a finite directed graph constructed by attaching n_v ($0 \leq n_v < +\infty$) 1-loops to each vertex v in T , G be a compact abelian group with the dual Γ , $\omega : E^* \rightarrow \Gamma$ be a labelling map. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $\omega : E^* \rightarrow \Gamma$ is almost proper.
- (2) $\sum_{j=1}^k \omega_{\gamma_j} \neq 1_\Gamma$, for any loops $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_k$ which are attached to one path μ_0 in T .

Proof: (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): obvious.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1): If ω is not almost proper, i.e. there is a compact subset A of Γ such that $\omega^{-1}(A) \setminus E^0$ isn't a finite set. Since Γ is compact, A is a finite set, and so there is an element $\sigma \in \Gamma$ such that $\omega^{-1}(\{\sigma\}) \setminus E^0$ is a countable infinite set, denoted by $\{\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_n, \dots\}$. For $i \geq 1$, let $\mu_i = \nu_i \cap T$, which consists of all the edges both in ν_i and in T , then μ_i ($i \geq 1$) are the paths in T by the construction of E . Since T is finite, there are only finite pathes in T . Without loss of generality, we may assume there is a path μ_0 in T such that $\mu_i = \mu_0$ for all $i \geq 1$. Since E^1 is finite, by the similar discussion as in the proof of Proposition 2.6, we may moreover assume that $\{l_i(e)\}_{i \geq 1}$ ($\forall e \in E^1$) is increasing, $\{|\nu_i|\}_{i \geq 1}$ is strictly increasing and $\lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} |\nu_i| = +\infty$, where $l_i(e)$ is the appearing times of e in ν_i . Then by the construction of E and that $\mu_j = \mu_0$ ($\forall j \geq 1$), $\nu_{i+1} \setminus \nu_i$, which consists of all the edges in ν_{i+1} but not in ν_i , is a set of finite loops attached to the path μ_0 . Therefore $\sum_{\gamma \in \nu_{i+1} \setminus \nu_i} \omega_\gamma \neq 1_\Gamma$. But, since $\{l_i(e)\}_{i \geq 1}$ ($\forall e \in E^1$) is increasing,

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \nu_{i+1} \setminus \nu_i} \omega_\gamma = \omega_{\nu_{i+1}} - \omega_{\nu_i} = \sigma - \sigma = 1_\Gamma.$$

This is a contradiction, and completes the proof.

Acknowledgements:

This paper was written while the author visited the Mathematisches Institut, Universitaet Muenster in the communication program of DAAD and the Education Ministry of China. He is grateful to Professor Joachim Cuntz for his hospitality, encouragement and many important suggestions, and to Professor Siegfried Echterhoff for his kind help. He is grateful to Professor George A. Elliott for many important suggestions by email communication. The author is also pleasure to express his gratitude to DAAD and the Education Ministry of China for their kind financial support. This article is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (10271090).

References

- [1] T. Bates, D. Pask and I. Raeburn, and W. Szymański, *The C^* -algebras of row-finite graph*, New York J. Math., **6**(2000), 307–324.
- [2] N. P. Brown, *AF embeddability of crossed products of AF algebras by the intergers*, J. Funct. Anal., **160**(1)(1998), 150–175.
- [3] J. Cuntz, *Simple C^* -algebras generated by isometries*, Comm. Math. Phys., **57**(1977), 173–185.
- [4] J. Cuntz, *K-theory for certain C^* -algebras*, Ann. of Math., **113**(1981), 181–197.
- [5] J. Cuntz and W. Krieger, *A class of C^* -algebras and topological Markov chains*, Invent. Math., **56**(1980), 251–268.
- [6] K. R. Davidson, *C^* -algebras by example*, in: Fields Institute Monographs, Vol. 6, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996.
- [7] G. A. Elliott, *On the Classification of C^* -algebras of Real Rank Zero*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **443**(1993), 179–219.
- [8] G. A. Elliott and X. Fang, *Simple inductive limits of C^* -algebras with building blocks from spheres of odd dimension*, Contemp. Math.(228), 'Operator Algebra and Operator Theory', 1998, 79–86.
- [9] G. A. Elliott, G. Gong, and L. Li, *On the Classification of Simple Inductive Limit C^* -algebras II: The Isomorphism Theorem*, preprint.
- [10] R. Exel and M. Laca, *Cuntz-Krieger algebras for infinite matrices*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **512**(1999), 119–172.
- [11] X. Fang, *The invariant continuous-trace C^* -algebras by the actions of compact abelian groups*, Chinese Ann. of Math.(B), **19**(1998), 489–498.
- [12] X. Fang, *The real rank zero property of the inductive limit of separable continuous-trace C^* -algebras*, Analysis, **19**(1999), 377–389.
- [13] X. Fang, *Graph C^* -algebras and their ideals defined by Cuntz-Krieger family of possibly row-infinite directed graphs*, to appear in Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory.
- [14] T. Katsura, *AF-embeddability of crossed products of Cuntz algebras*, J. Funct. Anal., **196**(2002), 427–442.
- [15] A. Kishimoto and D. Kumjian, *Crossed products of Cuntz algebras by quasi-free automorphisms*, Operator algebras and their applications, Fields Institute Communications, Vol 13, American Mathematical Society, Providence, **RI**, 1997, 173–192.
- [16] A. Kumjian, D. Pask and I. Raeburn, *Cuntz-Krieger algebras of directed graph*, Pacific J. Math., **184**(1998), 161–174.

- [17] A. Kumjian, D. Pask, I. Raeburn and J. Renault, *Graphs, groupoids, and Cuntz-Krieger algebras*, J. Funct. Anal., **148**(4)(1997), 505–541.
- [18] H. Lin, *An Introduction to the classification of amenable C^* -algebras*, World Scientific, Singapore, 2001.
- [19] G. K. Pedersen, *C^* -algebras and their automorphism groups*, Academic Press, London and New York, 1979.
- [20] M. V. Pimsner, *Embedding some transformation group C^* -algebras of flow into AF-algebras*, Ergodic Theory Dyn. Systems, **3**(4)(1983), 613–626.
- [21] M. V. Pimsner, *Embedding covariance- algebras into AF-algebras*, Ergodic Theory Dyn. Systems, **19**(3)(1999), 723–740.
- [22] M. V. Pimsner and D. Voiculescu, *Imbedding the irrational rotation C^* -algebras into an AF-algebra*, J. Operator Theory, **4**(2)(1980), 201–210.
- [23] I. P. Putnam, *The C^* -algebras associated with minimal homeomorphisms of the Cantor set*, Pacific J. Math., **136**(2)(1989), 329–353.
- [24] M. Rørdam, *A simple C^* -algebra with a finite and an infinite projection*, preprint.
- [25] M. Rørdam and E. Størmer, *Classification of nuclear C^* -algebras. Entropy in operator algebras*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences Vol. 126 (subedited by J. Cuntz and V. Jones), Springer Verlag, Berlin and Heidelberg, 2002.
- [26] D. Voiculescu, *Almost inductive limit automorphisms and embeddings into AF-algebras*, Ergodic Theory Dyn. Systems **6**(3)(1986), 475–484.

XIAOCHUN FANG

Department of Applied Mathematics
Tongji University
Shanghai 200092, China
E-mail address: xfang@mail.tongji.edu.cn